

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<u> </u>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,744	01/23/2004	Timothy J. Porth	OCT016USPT01	7665
23403	7590 11/15/2006		EXAM	INER
SHERRILL LAW OFFICES 4756 BANNING AVE			CROW, ST	EPHEN R
SUITE 212				PAPER NUMBER
WHITE BEA	WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN 55110-3205			-

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/763,744	PORTH, TIMOTHY J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steve R. Crow	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are objected to.	1/				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers	·	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	, , ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr</li></ol>	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.			
		• •			
Attachment(s) .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	• •			
•					

Art Unit: 3764

## Election/Restrictions

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: \*\*\*

1—figures 1-4;

2—figure 5;

3—figures 6-8;

4—figures 9-11.

The species are independent or distinct because although the species are directed to related elliptical exercise devices, they are distinct because the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the species are distinct because all of the above species have structural differences which would not permit one species to read upon another species without the additional of a secondary teaching.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/763,744

Art Unit: 3764

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

Allow

SC